



Background

Wildfires:

- Wildfire prevalence and severity has been increasing in the western United States since the 1980s¹
- Following wildfires, stream ecosystems often exhibit reduced water \bullet quality, sedimentation, channelization, higher temperatures, and lower macroinvertebrate and fish abundance^{2,3}

Macroinvertebrates:

- Macroinvertebrates occupy a central position in stream food webs
- EPT taxa (i.e. mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies) are sensitive to decreased water quality and are therefore used as bio-indicators
- Diptera (true flies), specifically Chironomidae, are pollutiontolerant and thus show higher relative abundances following wildfires²



(mayfly)



Plecoptera (stonefly)



Trichoptera (caddisfly)

Beavers:

- Beavers (*Castor canadensis*) act as ecosystem engineers by felling trees and creating canopy gaps, impounding water and expanding wetland habitat, and increasing habitat heterogeneity
- In the context of wildfires, beaver complexes may act as firebreaks, sequester sediments eroded after burns, prevent channelization, and maintain low stream temperatures

Question: Do beaver dams mitigate the impacts of wildfires on stream ecosystems?

Hypothesis: Burned areas below dams will exhibit a higher prevalence of EPT taxa and a lower prevalence of Diptera relative to above-dam sites, and will be more comparable to unburned sites



References

[1] Westerling, A. L., H. G. Hidalgo, D. R. Cayan, and T. W. Swetnam. 2006. Warming and earlier spring increase western U.S. forest wildfire activity. Science 313:940–943. [2] Minshall, G. W., T. V. Royer, and C. T. Robinson. 2001. Response of the Cache Creek macroinvertebrates during the first 10 years following disturbance by the 1988 Yellowstone wildfires. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 58:1077–1088. [3] Lyon, J. P., and J. P. O'Connor. 2008. Smoke on the water: Can riverine fish populations recover following a catastrophic fire-related sediment slug? Austral Ecology 33:794–806.

Assessing the Potential Role of Beavers (*Castor canadensis*) in Mitigating the Effects of Wildfire on Stream Ecosystems

Erin Stewart, University of Puget Sound Advisor: Peter Wimberger



(true fly)

Methods

Data collection:

- Research took place in the Methow Valley, located in north-central Washington
- Samples were collected at 3 burned and 3 unburned beaver sites, as well as at 6 matched non-beaver control streams (see map)
- Macroinvertebrates samples were collected in triplicate upstream and downstream of beaver dams as well as in nonbeaver streams using a Surber sampler
- At each sampling location various stream characteristics including substrate size, water temperature and velocity, and canopy cover were recorded



Data processing:

- All macroinvertebrates in ¼ of each sample's volume were counted to estimate abundance
- 100 macroinvertebrates were randomly selected from each sample, identified to family or genus, and grouped into morphospecies
- Various community characteristics, including overall species richness, EPT richness, % EPT, % Diptera, % Dominance, Shannon's diversity, HBI (Hilsenhoff Biotic Index), and B-IBI (Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity) were calculated
- Data were analyzed in R using 2-way ANOVA, PCA, and NMDS

Results

EPT richness in burned areas below dams was higher than in burned areas above dams, and was similar to unburned areas



Burned

Figure 1. Average EPT richness in burned and unburned areas above and below beaver dams. Differences were marginally significant, with the effect of burns depending on whether the sample was collected above or below a dam (2-way ANOVA, F = 4.70, df = 1,8, p = 0.062). In burned areas, EPT richness was 79% higher below vs. above dams (F = 6.33, df = 1,4, p = 0.066), and above dams EPT richness was 80% higher in burned vs. unburned areas (F = 6.59, df = 1,4, p = 0.062). Error bars show standard error.



Unburned

Results cont.



Burned

Figure 2. Average percent Diptera in burned and unburned areas above and below beaver dams. The effect of burns did not depend on whether the sample was collected above or below the dam, and there were no significant differences between burned and unburned sites or above-dam and below-dam sites. Error bars show standard error.

sites and unburned below-dam sites



Figure 3. NMDS of species composition across different site types, with overlapping ellipses indicating similarity. Burned above-dam sites and unburned below-dam sites were the most dissimilar, while all other site types showed overlap (k = 2, stress = 0.187).

Conclusions

- Burned streams in the Methow Valley exhibit altered macroinvertebrate community composition and structure when compared to unburned streams
- The effects of wildfire on macroinvertebrate communities appear to be buffered by the presence of a beaver dam upstream
- Restoration of beavers in fire-prone landscapes offers a potential means of reducing the impacts of wildfires on stream ecosystems

Acknowledgements

This research was funded through the McCormick Scholar Fund. Special thanks to Hayley Rettig, Amanda Foster, and Kena Fox-Dobbs for assistance in the field, as well as Alexa Whipple, Kent Woodruff, Carrie Woods, Michal Morrison, and members of the Methow Beaver Project for providing support and expertise.





Percent Diptera showed a noticeable but insignificant decrease Above Dam Below Dam

Unburned

Species composition varied the most between burned above-dam